

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/624,883	07/21/2003	Robert R. Parsons	15569-0005	6790
28529	28529 7590 12/16/2005		EXAMINER	
GALLAGHER & KENNEDY, P. A.			BAROT, BHARAT	
2575 E. CAMELBACK RD. #1100 PHOENIX, AZ 85016			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1110 = 1111,			2155	

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	n No. Applicant(s)				
		10/624,883	PARSONS ET A	PARSONS ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Bharat N. Barot	2155				
Period fo	 The MAILING DATE of this communication a Reply 	ppears on the cover si	neet with the correspondence a	nddress			
WHIC - Extense after S - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR siol (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the ply received by the Office later than three months after the main department adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COM 1.136(a). In no event, however od will apply and will expire SIX ute, cause the application to be	MUNICATION. , may a reply be timely filed (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	, ,			
Status		,					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	August 2005.					
		nis action is non-final.					
/	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositio	on of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34,44-47 and 52-70</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)🖂	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34, 44-47, and 52-70</u> is/are rejected.						
7)							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requireme	nt.				
Application	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
;	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment((s)						
	of References Cited (PTO-892)		erview Summary (PTO-413)				
	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0		per No(s)/Mail Date ice of Informal Patent Application (PT	ГО-152)			
	No(s)/Mail Date	· —	er:	-			

RESPONSE TO AMENDMENT

1. Claims 1-34, 44-47, and 52-70 remain for further examination.

Claim Objection

2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 1 contain "." in line 9 step (iv), which is a typographical error and "." Should be --,--.

Appropriate correction is required.

The new grounds of rejection [Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101]

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

4. Claims 26-34 and 44-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention of the claims 26-34 and 44-47 is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claim 26 recited "A domain name by proxy computer program comprising:" and claim 44 recited "Programming for a proxy entity in a proxy domain name registration system including:" which are adapted to perform some steps. The computer program and the programming are non-statutory as not being tangibly embodied in computer readable medium in a manner so as to be executable, and also claimed that the computer program/programming execute in a computer or by a computer are descriptive material per se and are not statutory because they are not capable of causing functional change

Art Unit: 2155

in the computer (See MPEP section 2106, Seventh Edition, Revision No. 1 dated February 2000, at page 2100-10 and 2100-11).

Other dependent claims, which are not specifically cited above are also rejected because of the deficiencies of their respective parent claims.

The old rejection maintained

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-34, 44-47, and 52-70 filed on August 29, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive for the claims 1-34, 44-47, and 52-70. The modify rejection is made in view of Gardos et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,880,007).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 7. Claims 1-34, 44-47, and 52-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gardos et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,880,007). Gardos' patent meets all the limitations for the claims 1-34, 44-47, and 52-70 recited in the claimed invention.
- 8. As to claim 1, Gardos et al disclose a proxy domain name registration system (see abstract; and figures 1-3) comprising: a registrar (153) for receiving domain name registration requests from customers (registrants) (1), communicating a registration request to a registry (8) including a domain name and domain owner personal contact

Application/Control Number: 10/624,883

Art Unit: 2155

information, communicating a domain name and personal contact information to a proxy domain owner, and storing domain owner contact information (figures 4-6; and columns 10-12); a proxy (web server with domain manager) (150,160) for receiving and storing personal contact information communicated to the proxy by the registrar, and communicating proxy personal contact information to the registrar; and the registrar being responsive to the communication of personal contact information by the proxy to: submit a registration request and the proxy personal contact information from the proxy to a registry (figures 4-6; and column 10-12), and store that proxy contact information in the storage (figure 3; column 6 lines 26-50; column 7 lines 1-36; column 7 line 57 to column 8 line 25; and column 8 line 52 to column 9 line 29).

Page 4

- 9. As to claims 2-3, Gardos et al disclose that the proxy for enabling the customer to signal a command to cancel proxy registration and responding to the customer's signal of the command to cancel for automatically causing transfer of ownership to the customer by the registrar (figure 3 and 4B; column 7 line 57 to column 8 line 11; column 9 lines 16-29; and column 10 lines 33-51).
- 10. As to claims 4-6, Gardos et al disclose that the proxy for passing email along to the customer and blocking one or more categories of email from reaching the customer, wherein the categories of email are selected from the group consisting of SPAM, unauthorized bulk email, unauthorized commercial email and pornography (column 2 line 45 to column 3 line 14; and column 10 lines 19-51).

Application/Control Number: 10/624,883

Art Unit: 2155

11. As to claims 7-11, they are also rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claims 1-6 above. Additionally, Gardos et al disclose that (claim 7) the registrar establishing a web site; an interactive page on the web site enabling a customer to request the registration of a domain name; a message on a page on the Web site prompting a customer to indicate whether proxy registration of a domain name is desired; and a field on a page of the web site for a customer to enter a desired domain name (figure 4A; column 8line 52 to column 9 line 29; and column 9 line 66 to column 10 line 51), (claim 9) the communication input at the proxy is a proxy web site having an interactive page enabling a customer to indicate cancellation of proxy registration (figure 4B; and column 10 lines 19-51), and (claim 11) a proxy email server adapted to receive email, the proxy information including a proxy email address, the registrar publishing the proxy email address in association with a domain name, whereby email intended for the customer is directed to the proxy email server (figures 4S; column 3 lines 14-57; and column 8 lines 25-32).

Page 5

12. As to claims 12-18, they are also rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claims 1-6 above, since claims 12-18 are merely a method of operation for the apparatus defined in the claims 1-6. Additionally, Gardos et al teach that directing to the proxy electronic communications addressed to a domain name registered by proxy (column 10 lines 52-63).

Application/Control Number: 10/624,883 Page 6

Art Unit: 2155

13. As to claims 19-25, they are also rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claims 1-6 above, since claims 19-25 are merely a method of operation for the apparatus defined in the claims 1-6. Additionally, Gardos et al teach that receiving electronic addressed to the domain name and sending electronic communications from the domain name, absent identification of the customer (column 9 line 48 to column 10 line 17).

- 14. As to claims 26-34, they are also rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claims 1-11 above, since claims 26-34 are merely a computer program for the apparatus defined in the claims 1-11. Additionally, Gardos et al disclose that the proxy information stored in memory includes proxy contact information, wherein the proxy contact information includes proxy email address; and teach that communicating with a proxy includes programming for forwarding to the proxy customer information, wherein the customer information includes customer contact information and customer email address (figures 4A-4B; and column 8 line 52 to column 10 line 63).
- 15. As to claims 44-47, they are also rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claims 1-11 above, since claims 44-47 are merely a computer program for the apparatus defined in the claims 1-11.
- 16. As to claims 52-59, they are also rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claims 1-6 and 26-34 above, since claims 52-59 are merely a method of

Application/Control Number: 10/624,883 Page 7

Art Unit: 2155

operation for the apparatus defined in the claims 1-6 and for the computer program defined in the claims 26-34. Additionally, Gardos et al teach that contractually granting legal rights in the domain name to the customer and the domain name, the proxy registration request and personal information from the customer are received from the customer via an interactive web site (figures 4A-4B; and column 8 line 52 to column 10 line 63).

- 17. As to claims 60-68, they are also rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claims 1-6, 26-34, and 52-59 above, since claims 60-68 are merely an apparatus for the method of operation defined in the claims 52-59 and for the computer program defined in the claims 26-34.
- 18. As to claims 69-70, they are also rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claims 7-8 above,

Response to Arguments

19. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-34, 44-47, and 52-70 filed on August 29, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive for the claims 1-34, 44-47, and 52-70. The examiner has attempted to answer (response) to the remarks (arguments) in the body of the modified office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/624,883 Page 8

Art Unit: 2155

Contact Information

20. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to <u>Bharat Barot</u> whose Telephone Number is (571) 272-3979. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM. Most facsimile-transmitted patent application related correspondence is required to be sent to the Central FAX Number (571) 273-8300.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, <u>Saleh Najjar</u>, can be reached at (571) 272-4006.

BHARAT BAROT PRIMARY EXAMINER

gherat Berst.

Patent Examiner Bharat Barot

Art Unit 2155

December 02, 2005